

Hybrid Power Plant Bidding Strategy for Electricity Market Participation

by Sahand Ghavidel Jirsaraie

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for
the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

under the supervision of Li Li, Jiangfeng Zhang, and
Jianguo Zhu

University of Technology Sydney
Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology

August 2019

Title of the thesis:

Hybrid Power Plant Bidding Strategy for Electricity Market Participation

Ph.D. student:

Sahand Ghavidel Jirsaraie

E-mail: [REDACTED]@student.uts.edu.au

Supervisor:

A.Prof. Li Li

E-mail: Li.Li@uts.edu.au

Co-Supervisor:

Dr. Jiangfeng Zhang

E-mail: Jiangfeng.Zhang@uts.edu.au

Co-Supervisor:

Prof. Jianguo Zhu

E-mail: Jianguo.Zhu@uts.edu.au

Address:

School of Electrical, Mechanical and Mechatronic Systems

University of Technology Sydney, 15 Broadway, Ultimo, NSW 2007, Australia

Certificate of Original Authorship

I, Sahand Ghavidel Jirsaraie declare that this thesis, is submitted in fulfillment of the requirements for the award of doctor of philosophy, in the School of Electrical, Mechanical and Mechatronic Systems, Faculty of Engineering and Information Technology at the University of Technology Sydney.

This thesis is wholly my own work unless otherwise reference or acknowledged. In addition, I certify that all information sources and literature used are indicated in the thesis.

This document has not been submitted for qualifications at any other academic institution.

This research is supported by the Australian government research training program.

Signature:

Production Note:
Signature removed prior to publication.

Date: 11/05/2020

Acknowledgments

I would express my sincere gratitude to my principal supervisor Dr. Li Li, for his guidance and encouragement during my study. I also would like to thank my other supervisors, Dr. Jiangfeng Zhang and Prof. Jianguo Zhu for their help and advice.

I am incredibly thankful to my friend Mostafa Barani. He discussed research points in details and gave me insightful advice.

I want to thank my friends and colleagues, Mojtaba Jabbari Ghadi, Amin Rajabi, and Ali Azizivahed, for their support in daily life.

Finally, my sincerest thanks go to my families. Without their strong understanding and support, it is not possible for me to complete this thesis.

Publications and Conference Contributions

The following publications are part of the thesis.

Peer-reviewed international journal publications

- [1] S. Ghavidel*, A. Azizivahed, and L. Li, "A hybrid Jaya algorithm for reliability–redundancy allocation problems," *Engineering Optimization*, vol. 50, no. 4, pp. 698–715, 2018. (*Published*)
- [2] S. Ghavidel*, MJ. Ghadi, A. Azizivahed, J. Aghaei, L. Li, J. Zhang, Risk-Constrained Bidding Strategy for a Joint Operation of Wind Power and Compressed Air Energy Storage Aggregators. *IEEE Transactions on Sustainable Energy*, 2019 (*Published*)
- [3] S. Ghavidel*, A. Rajabi, MJ. Ghadi, A. Azizivahed, L. Li, J. Zhang, Risk-Constrained Demand Response and Wind Energy Systems Integration to Handle Stochastic Nature and Wind Power Outage, *IET Energy Systems Integration*, 2018 (*Published*)

Peer-reviewed international scientific conference publications

- [4] S. Ghavidel*, MJ. Ghadi, A. Azizivahed, M. Barani, J. Aghaei, L. Li, J. Zhang, "Hybrid power plant bidding strategy including a commercial compressed air energy storage aggregator and a wind power producer," in *Universities Power Engineering Conference (AUPEC)*, 2017 Australasian, 2017, pp. 1-6: IEEE.
- [5] S. Ghavidel*, M. Barani, A. Azizivahed, M. J. Ghadi, L. Li, and J. Zhang, "Hybrid power plant offering strategy to deal with the stochastic nature and outage of wind

generators," in *Electrical Machines and Systems (ICEMS)*, 2017 20th International Conference on, 2017, pp. 1-6: IEEE.

- [6] **S. Ghavidel***, L. Li, J. Aghaei, T. Yu, and J. Zhu, "A review on the virtual power plant: Components and operation systems," in *IEEE International Conference on Power System Technology*, 2016: IEEE.

Abstract

In this thesis, the strategies of hybrid power plants (HPPs) in electricity markets to minimize the impacts of wind power uncertainties through storage and demand managements are investigated.

Firstly, a commercial Compressed Air Energy Storage (CAES) aggregator equipped with a simple cycle operation mode is correlated with a Wind Power Aggregator (WPA) as an HPP to participate in electricity markets. The WPA utilizes the CAES to tackle wind power forecasting errors and uncertainties associated with different electricity market prices, while CAES can get assistance from WPA to schedule its charging/discharging and simple cycle modes more economically. A three-stage stochastic decision-making method is formulated to model the proposed optimization problem. Besides, conditional value-at-risk (CVaR) is added to the model to control the financial risk of the problem and offer different operation strategies for different financial risk levels. It also provides both bidding quantity and bidding curves to be submitted to the electricity markets.

Secondly, an offering strategy with a three-stage stochastic programming is presented for an HPP, which includes a WPA and a Demand Response Aggregator (DRA). Three electricity markets are considered including DA, intraday, and balancing market for the joint operation of WPA and DRA as an HPP. The CVaR is also added to the HPP offering strategy to control the profit risk. The offering strategy for the second case study is tested in a wind farm and electricity market located in Spain. The result shows that the HPP offering strategy can effectively assist the balancing and outage problem of the WPA and increase the overall profit of the joint operation.

Finally, an HPP, including a CAES aggregator with a WPA is modeled considering network constraints. Three objective functions are considered including electricity market maximization, congestion management, and voltage stability improvement. In order to accurately model the WPA, pitch control ability is added to wind generator models to control the wind power curtailment level. Multi-objective Pareto front solutions are considered to optimize all the mentioned objective functions properly, and finally, the best solution is suggested using the fuzzy method. The proposed approach is tested on a realistic case study based on a wind farm and electricity market located in Spain, and the IEEE 57 bus test system is used to analyze the network constraint effects on the HPP scheduling for different objective functions.

Keywords: Hybrid Power Plant; Wind Power Aggregator; Demand Response Aggregator; Compressed Air Energy Storage Aggregator

Contents

Certificate of Original Authorship	i
Acknowledgments	ii
Publications and Conference Contributions.....	iii
Abstract.....	v
List of Tables	x
List of Figures.....	xi
Nomenclature.....	xiv
1 Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background and Research Question	1
1.2 Research Objectives and Scope	2
1.3 Dataset	3
1.4 Contributions and Organization of the Thesis	3
1.4.1 Intellectual contributions.....	3
1.4.2 Thesis organization	5
2 Background and Literature Review.....	6
2.1 HPP Components	6
2.1.1 Energy Production Units	7
2.1.2 Storage Units.....	9
2.1.3 Flexible Loads	12
2.2 Operation Systems of HPP	14
2.2.1 Co-operation of WPA and DRP.....	18
2.2.2 Co-operation of Commercial CAES Aggregator and WPA.....	19
2.3 Types of HPP	21
2.3.1 Technical HPP (THPP).....	22
2.3.2 Commercial HPP (CHPP).....	22
2.4 Electricity Markets	23
2.4.1 Organization and Agents.....	23

2.4.2 Decision Sequence and Uncertainty	32
2.4.3 Decision Making	34
2.5 Stochastic Programming Fundamentals	37
2.5.1 Random Variables and Stochastic Processes	38
2.5.2 Scenarios	39
2.5.3 Stochastic Programming Problems	40
2.5.4 Solving Stochastic Programming Problems	43
2.6 Summary	44
3 Risk-Constrained Bidding Strategy for a Joint Operation of Wind Power and Compressed Air Energy Storage Aggregators	45
3.1 Motivation	45
3.2 Contributions	47
3.3 WPA Modeling	48
3.3.1 Introduction to WPA	48
3.3.2 Decision Framework	50
3.3.3 Mechanism for Imbalance Prices	52
3.3.4 WPA Profit and Imbalance Cost	54
3.3.5 Certainty Gain Effect	58
3.3.6 Scenario Tree	59
3.3.7 WPA Basic Model	60
3.3.8 Offering Curves	64
3.3.9 Risk Modeling	64
3.3.10 Intraday Market	67
3.3.11 WPA Model	68
3.4 CAES Aggregator Modeling	70
3.5 HPP Modeling	75
3.6 Wind Generation and Market Prices Modeling	77
3.6.1 Jaya algorithm	80
3.6.2 The hybrid enhanced Jaya algorithm	82
3.7 Case Study and Results	87

3.7.1 Case I: Base Case	89
3.7.2 Case II: Considering Bidding Curve.....	91
3.7.3 Case III: Considering Financial Risk	93
3.7.4 Case IV: Considering CAES Simple-cycle Mode	95
3.7.5 Case V: a case study over one year	98
3.8 Summary	98
4 Risk-Constrained Demand Response and Wind Energy Systems Integration to Handle Stochastic Nature and Wind Power Outage.....	100
4.1 Motivation.....	100
4.2 Contributions and Approach.....	101
4.3 Proposed Methodology	101
4.4 Case Study and Results	106
4.4.1 Assumptions and data.....	106
4.4.2 Numerical Studies	108
4.5 Summary	115
5 Hybrid Power Plant Bidding Strategy for Voltage Stability Improvement, Electricity Market Profit Maximization, and Congestion Management	117
5.1 Motivation and Contributions.....	117
5.2 Problem Formulation	119
5.2.1 Equality constraints.....	125
5.2.2 Inequality constraints:	126
5.2.3 Multi-objective Strategy and Optimization Tool	126
5.3 Results	130
5.4 Summary	144
6 Conclusions and Suggestions for Further Work.....	146
6.1 Summary and Conclusions	146
6.2 Future work.....	147
References	150

List of Tables

Table 2.1 Comparison of different energy storage systems	12
Table 3.1 The best results (Mean \pm Std) obtained from the Jaya algorithms for real-parameter problems.	86
Table 4.1 Distribution of outage time probability for WPA	108
Table 5.1 Congestion management index, voltage stability index, and market profit value for the best solutions for each and the best compromise solution	135
Table 5.2 Wind curtailment level of the best solution for congestion management objective function.	141
Table 5.3 Wind curtailment level of the best solution of VSI objective function.	142
Table 5.4 Wind curtailment level of the best solution of profit maximization objective function. .	143
Table 5.5 Wind curtailment level of the best compromise solution.	144

List of Figures

Fig. 2.1 Comparison of the efficiency and investment cost for different type of energy storage systems	11
Fig. 2.2 Comparison of the life time and size range of different types of energy storages.....	11
Fig. 2.3 electricity markets trading framework with producers: dispatchable and non-dispatchable producers	25
Fig. 2.4 pool and its procedures.....	28
Fig. 2.5 the balancing market and its procedures.....	29
Fig. 2.6 the futures market and its procedures	30
Fig. 2.7 the reserve market and its procedures	31
Fig. 2.8 the regulation market and its procedures.....	32
Fig. 2.9 the decision sequence of different markets.....	33
Fig. 2.10 Decision-making problem of consumer	34
Fig. 2.11 Problems related to the dispatchable producer	35
Fig. 2.12 Decision-making problem of the non-dispatchable producer	35
Fig. 2.13 Decision-making problem of an HPP	36
Fig. 2.14 A problem with a two-stage scenario tree structure.	42
Fig. 2.15 A problem with a three-stage scenario tree structure.....	43
Fig. 3.1 Three electricity markets framework.....	51
Fig. 3.2 Imbalance price clearance for more demand or less demand.....	52
Fig. 3.3 Scenario tree to describe the uncertainties of pool-based market prices and wind generation in a WPA problem.....	59
Fig. 3.4 Concept of risk.....	65
Fig. 3.5 CVaR to control the financial risks.....	67
Fig. 3.6 Flowchart of the stochastic variable modeling process	78
Fig. 3.7 The optimization process of the original Jaya algorithm.	81
Fig. 3.8 The optimization process of LJaya-TVAC algorithm.	83
Fig. 3.9 Convergence plots of the proposed Jaya algorithms for the real-parameter function with $d=30$: (a) F_2 and (b) F_6	87
Fig. 3.10 Optimal hourly power bids for the DA market.....	89
Fig. 3.11 Hourly expected profit of CAES, WPA and HPP.....	90
Fig. 3.12 Optimal charging/discharging pattern of CAES.....	91
Fig. 3.13 HPP bidding curves for DA market	92
Fig. 3.14 Expected profit versus CVaR for different values of ζ : efficient frontier	92
Fig. 3.15 Profit comparison for CAES and WPA versus different ζ values.....	93
Fig. 3.16 HPP profit and its extra profit compared with the summation of independent operations versus different ζ values	95
Fig. 3.17 Hourly power bids of CAES with/without CAES simple-cycle mode	95

Fig. 3.18 Comparison of energy level changes in the CAES cavern with/without CAES simple-cycle mode.	97
Fig. 3.19 Profit and cumulative profit (C-Profit) comparison for CAES only, WPA only and HPP over one year.	97
Fig. 4.1 Structure of Hybrid power plant	102
Fig. 4.2 Optimal hourly power bids for the DA market.....	107
Fig. 4.3 Optimal variations in the behavior of demand response provider for each scenario in the joint operation.	109
Fig. 4.4 HPP optimal power bids in the DA market under normal operation and wind outage	109
Fig. 4.5 Optimal power bids of independent WPA in the DA market under normal operation and wind outage.	110
Fig. 4.6 Optimal power bids of DRA in the DA market under independent operation and joint operation.....	111
Fig. 4.7 Hourly comparison of expected net profit under three configurations: DRA only, WPA only, and HPP	111
Fig. 4.8 Hourly expected net profit of independent WPA under two different conditions of normal operation and wind outage.	112
Fig. 4.9 Expected net profit of HPP under two different conditions of normal operation and wind outage.	112
Fig. 4.10 Extra profit comparison of HPP for a set of ζ values under two conditions of wind outage and normal operation.	113
Fig. 4.11 Profit and cumulative profit (C-Profit) comparison for DRA only, WPA only and HPP for one year	114
Fig. 4.12 Profit and cumulative profit (C-Profit) for an extra profit of HPP over one year	114
Fig. 5.1 (a) Initial wind power PDF; (b) the curtailed wind power PDF.....	121
Fig. 5.2 Pareto-optimal front concept for two objective functions.....	127
Fig. 5.3 The optimization framework.....	129
Fig. 5.4 Diagram illustration of system configurations.	132
Fig. 5.5 Three-dimensional Pareto front of non-dominated and best compromise solutions of the pitch angle control and charging and discharging levels of CAESs and WPs for market profit, voltage stability index, and congestion.	133
Fig. 5.6 Two-dimensional Pareto front for voltage stability index and congestion.....	133
Fig. 5.7 Two-dimensional Pareto front for market profit and congestion.....	134
Fig. 5.8 Two-dimensional Pareto front for market profit and voltage stability index.	134
Fig. 5.9 CAESs charging and discharging for minimum congestion solution.	136
Fig. 5.10 CAESs charging and discharging for minimum VSI.	136
Fig. 5.11 CAESs charging and discharging for maximum profit.	137
Fig. 5.12 CAESs charging and discharging for the best compromise solution.	137
Fig. 5.13 Optimal power bids of CAES aggregator in the DA market for different objective functions (Congestion management, VSI, Profit maximization,) and best compromise solution.....	138

Fig. 5.14 Optimal power bids of CAES aggregator and WPA in the DA market for different objective functions (Congestion management, VSI, Profit maximization,) and best compromise solution....	138
Fig. 5.15 VSI during the 24-hour time horizon.....	139
Fig. 5.16 The bus-VSI profile at hour #5.....	139

Nomenclature

Global abbreviations used in this thesis:

ADN	Active Distribution Network
BESS	Battery Energy Storage System
CAES	Compressed Air Energy Storage
CHP	Combined Heat And Power
CHP	Coupled with District Heating (CHP–DH)
CVaR	Conditional Value-at-Risk
CHPP	Commercial HPP
DA	Day-Ahead
DG	Distributed Generation
DR	Demand Response
DRP	Demand Response Provider
DRRs	Demand Response Resources
DSO	Distribution System Operator
EDLC	Electric Double-Layer Capacitors
EDLC	Electric Double-Layer Capacitors
EMS	Energy Management System
FERC	Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
FES	Flywheel Energy Storage
HPES	Hydraulic Pumped Energy Storage

HPP	Hybrid Power Plant
PEM	Point Estimate Method
PEVs	Plug-In Electric Vehicles
PHEVs	Plug-In Hybrid Electric Vehicles
SMES	Superconducting Magnetic Energy Storage
TSO	Transmission System Operator
THPP	Technical HPP
HPP	Hybrid Power Plant
WPA	Wind Power Aggregator